# WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

#### Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal (Chairman) & The Hon'ble Mr. Subesh Kumar Das (Administrative Member)

Case No - O.A. 455 of 2019

DR. ANIRUDDHA GHORAI  $\underline{Vs}$  The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
5	For the Applicant : Mr. M. N. Ray, Mr. G. Haldar,	
	Advocates	
03.09.2019		
	For the Respondents: Mr. S. Ghosh,	
	Advocate	
	In this application the applicant, - who had	
	joined on 11 <sup>th</sup> August, 1992 as Medical Officer and now	
	posted as Medical Officer in the Kharagpur Sub-	
	Divisional Hospital, Paschim Medinipur, - has challenged	
	the order dated 18 <sup>th</sup> April, 2019, being annexure 'E' to the	
	application, whereby his prayer for "voluntary retirement"	
	has been turned down as it is "not tenable as per Sub-Rule	
	(aaaa) of Rule 75 of WBSR Part I" and has been "directed	
	to continue" with his duties. The relevant prayers made in	
	this application are as under:	
	"(a) An order do issue thereby	
	setting aside / quashing the	
	Memo No. 1A – 78 – 2015 / Pt.	
	II A 2097 Dated, Kolkata, the	
	18 <sup>th</sup> April, 2019 whereby the	
	prayer of the applicant has been	
	rejected invoking the amended	
	provision of Sub-Rule (aaaa) of	

Serial No. and Date of order.

1

Order of the Tribunal with signature
2
and dated signature of parties when necessary
3

Rule 75 of WBSR, Part-I with immediate effect as the same is a non speaking order and is a cryptic one, moreover suffers from non application of mind.

- (b) An order do issue thereby setting aside / quashing the Memo No. 1A - 78 - 2015 / Pt. II A 2097 Dated, Kolkata, the 18<sup>th</sup> April, 2019, and after setting thereby aside direct the respondent authorities to issue release order necessary in connection to prayer dated 28.12.2018 for Voluntary Retirement from Service within a stipulated time period taking into consideration the letter and Judgement spirit of dated 03.01.2017 delivered in the matter of Dr. Ashok Kumar Maiti & Others - Versus- The State of West Bengal & Others.
- (c) An order do issue thereby direct the concerned respondent authorities to forthwith disburse all retiral benefits in accordance

.....

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	with law after issuance of	
	specific order in terms of VRS	
	application dated 28.12.2018,	
	within a stipulated time period.	
	(d) An order do issue directing the	
	concerned respondent authorities	
	to forthwith issue "Release	
	Order" in favour of your	
	applicant without causing any	
	further delay.	
	(e) An order do issue directing the	
	respondent authorities to accept	
	the prayer dated 28.12.2018 for	
	Voluntary Retirement under	
	Rule 75 (aaa) of West Bengal	
	Service Rules, Part-I within a	
	stipulated time period after	
	giving an opportunity of	
	hearing, keeping in mind that the	
	applicant has already attained 50	
	years of age and rendered more	
	than 20 years of service at the	
	time of coming of the amended	
	provisions dated 07.02.2014."	
	It is submitted by Mr. M.N. Roy, learned	
	advocate for the applicant that since the applicant had	

Serial No. and Order of the Tribunal with signature  Date of order.  1	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
--	---

completed fifty years of age on 23<sup>rd</sup> August, 2013, and on the date of applying for voluntary retirement, had completed more than twenty years of service, the applicant had already accrued a right for having his application for voluntary retirement considered in view of the provision of Sub-Rule (aaa) of Rule 75 of WBSR, Part-I. Submission is that right accrued under Rule 75 (aaa) cannot be divested in the manner as has been done in the order under challenge. Moreover, the order is cryptic as it does not disclose reasons. In this regard reliance has been placed on the judgement and order passed on 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2019 in O.A. 458 of 2019: Dr. Partha Sanyal Vs. State of West Bengal & Others.

Mr. S. Ghosh, learned advocate appearing on behalf of the State submits that from the judgement and order passed on 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2019 in O.A. 458 of 2019 steps are being taken to challenge the same.

Heard learned advocates for the parties. Perused the order under challenge. We find that it does not disclose any reason and is cryptic. Moreover, be it noted that as a right has accrued to the applicant to retire voluntarily under Sub-Rule (aaa) of Rule 75 of WBSR, Part-I for having completed fifty years of age and for completing 20 years of service, the issue does not come under the ambit of Rule 75 Sub-Rule (aaaa). Therefore,

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature
1		of parties when necessary  3
	legally the impugned order is also not sustainable.	-
	Moreover, admittedly the issue is covered by the	
	judgement and order passed on 22 <sup>nd</sup> July, 2019 in Dr.	
	Partha Sanyal (Supra). Therefore, the order impugned	
	cannot be sustained and is set aside and quashed. Hence,	
	the application is allowed. Accordingly the Additional	
SCN.	Chief Secretary, Department of Health and Family	
	Welfare, Government of West Bengal, Kolkata, the	
	respondent no.1 is directed to accept the application of the	
	applicant for voluntary retirement dated 28 <sup>th</sup> December,	
	2018 with effect from 31st March, 2019 within twelve	
	weeks from the date of presentation of a copy of the	
	certified copy of this order and shall disburse pensionary	
	benefits and other allowances, as admissible under the	
	law, which has accrued in favour of the applicant.	
	(S.K. Das) (Soumitra Pal) MEMBER (A) CHAIRMAN	